

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/031,473	01/17/2002	Pertti Haapamaki	OYJALO-010	1765	
530	7590 10/22/2003		EXAMINER		
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			STINSON, FRANKIE L		
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1746		

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					l	L
		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		10/031,473		HAAPAMAKI, PEI	RTTI	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		FRANKIE L. STINSON	١	1746		
D	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover shee	et with the co	rrespondence ad	ldress	
Period fo	, ,	VIC CET TO EVOIDE	a MONTU/O) EDOM		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become	ay a reply be time of thirty (30) days t MONTHS from th ne ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timel le mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) <mark>□</mark> Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims				ne merits is	
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration	•			
5)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to	by the Exam	iner.		
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapprov	ed by the Examin	er.	
🗖	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	-				
-	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).		
a)	⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		• •			
* (3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).		Stage	
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•			l application).	
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •				
Attachmen	•	*				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u>	5) 🔲 Notic	e of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/031,473

Art Unit: 1746

- 1. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Re claim 1, for the example, applicant claims a method, but the step are not 2. clearly set forth. It is suggested that step (a) should read as --displacing a first volume of liquid from a batch of pulp by a washing liquid--. Note also in lines 2-3, "and removed from the washing process" is confusing. Further in line 2, (and in line 4) the word "means" is preceded by the word(s) "pulp by" in an attempt to use a "means" clause to recite a claim element as a means for performing a specified function. However, since no function is specified by the word(s) preceding "means," it is impossible to determine the equivalents of the element, as required by 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. See Ex parte Klumb, 159 USPQ 694 (Bd. App. 1967). It is further suggested that step (b) reads better if written as --displacing wash filtrate from the pulp by a second volume of wash filtrate--. It is also suggested for step (c), --replacing the washed batch of pulp by an unwashed batch of pulp-- and suggested for step (d)--displacing liquid from the unwashed pulp by the concentration gradient recovered in step b. In claim 3, line 2, "means for bringing pulp" is understood to be -pulp bringing means--. The examiner feels the -means for delivering pulp-reads better. In line 4, there is no antecedent basis for the phrase "the concentration gradient". Also suggested for claim 3, line 5, --delivering-- versus "displacing". Is further requested that all claim be reviewed and revised to comply with 35 U.S.C 112.

Application/Control Number: 10/031,473 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "the cell structure" as claimed in claim 5 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 4. Claims 1-6 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Campbell, Laakso, Sloman, Gunkel, Samuelson et al., Simmons et al., Klotz, Lisnayansky et al., Sbaschnigg et al., Hicks et al., Antkowiak, Hoffman, and Qvintus et al., note the pulp washing means.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661. The examiner can normally be reached during the first week of the pay-period M-F from 5:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. and during the second week of the pay-period from Tu-Th second from 5:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. and on Fri. from 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. Alternating Mondays off.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 (NON-FINAL REJECTION STATUS) and (703) 872-9311 (AFTER-FINAL REJECTION STATUS).

Application/Control Number: 10/031,473

Art Unit: 1746

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Any inquiry for missing parts of this Office Action (copies of references, pages, forms etc.), contact Office Manger Ms. Sandra Sewell (703) 308-0661.

fls

^LFRANKIE L. STINSON Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746 Page 4